Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/802,156	BUCHALTER ET	AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	/Stephen J. Castellano/	3781	
All Participants: Status of Application:			
(1) /Stephen J. Castellano/.	(3)		
(2) Mr. Robert Diehl (appl. rep.).	(4)		
Date of Interview: 19 December 2008	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None			
Claims discussed: Of record			
Prior art documents discussed: Of record			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Drafted proposed claim 1 and discussed and made changes to proposed claim 1. It was not necessary to limit the container to be a trash container. "Container" has been positively introduced in claim 1 with exterior wall and rear section of exterior wall also introduced. Limitations now differentiate between the interior location of the bag-receiving area and the exterior location of the bag-retaining surface. The locking members movement is stated to be "pivoting." The rear section is limited to having a rigid portion. Other changes have been made to add clarity and eliminate antecedence errors.			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
/Stephen J. Castellano/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3781	.pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if a	appropriate)